

Indiana University - Purdue University Fort Wayne Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW

ADVANCEMENT SECTION REPORT OF A
COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION VISIT TO
INDIANA UNIVERSITY-PURDUE
UNIVERSITY FORT WAYNE Fort Wayne,
Indiana November 15-17, 2010 FOR The Higher
Learning Commission A Commission of the North
Central Association of Colleges and Schools

North Central Accreditation Self-Study 2010

11-15-2010

Worksheet on Federal Compliance Requirements

The Higher Learning Commission, A Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools

Follow this and additional works at: http://opus.ipfw.edu/ncaselfstudy2010_assurance

Recommended Citation

The Higher Learning Commission, A Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools (2010). Worksheet on Federal Compliance Requirements.
http://opus.ipfw.edu/ncaselfstudy2010_assurance/3

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the North Central Accreditation Self-Study 2010 at Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW. It has been accepted for inclusion in ADVANCEMENT SECTION REPORT OF A COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION VISIT TO INDIANA UNIVERSITY-PURDUE UNIVERSITY FORT WAYNE Fort Wayne, Indiana November 15-17, 2010 FOR The Higher Learning Commission A Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools by an authorized administrator of Opus: Research & Creativity at IPFW. For more information, please contact admin@lib.ipfw.edu.

WORKSHEET ON Federal Compliance Requirements

INSTITUTIONAL MATERIALS RELATED TO FEDERAL COMPLIANCE REVIEWED BY THE TEAM:

Admissions Policies
Advertisements for Accreditation Visit
Advertisements Soliciting Third Part Comment
Distance Education Policies
IPFW Brochures and Marketing Materials
IPFW Graduate Bulletin 2010-2011
IPFW Undergraduate Bulletin 2010-2011
Purdue University Management Agreement
Spring Semester Schedule of Classes 2011
Student Complaint Logs
Title IV Data
Transfer Student Web Pages

EVALUATION OF FEDERAL COMPLIANCE PROGRAM COMPONENTS

The team verifies that it has reviewed each component of the Federal Compliance Program by reviewing each item below. Generally, if the team finds substantive issues in these areas and relates such issues to the institution's fulfillment of the Criteria for Accreditation, such discussion should be handled in appropriate sections of the Assurance Section of the Team Report or highlighted as such in the appropriate AQIP Quality Checkup Report.

1. Credits, Program Length, and Tuition: *The institution has documented that it has credit hour assignments and degree program lengths within the range of good practice in higher education and that tuition is consistent across degree programs (or that there is a rational basis for any program-specific tuition).*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance.
Comments:

2. Student Complaints: *The institution has documented a process in place for addressing student complaints and appears to be systematically processing such complaints as evidenced by the data on student complaints for the three years prior to the visit.*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance.
Comments:

3. Transfer Policies: *The institution has demonstrated it is appropriately disclosing its transfer policies to students and to the public. Policies contain information about the criteria the institution uses to make transfer decisions.*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance.

Comments:

4. Verification of Student Identity: *The institution has demonstrated that it verifies the identify of students who participate in courses or programs provided to the student through distance or correspondence education.*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance.

Comments:

5. Title IV Program and Related Responsibilities: *The institution has presented evidence on the required components of the Title IV Program. The team has reviewed these materials and has found no cause for concern regarding the institution's administration or oversight of its Title IV responsibilities.*

- **General Program Requirements:** *The institution has provided the Commission with information about the fulfillment of its Title IV program responsibilities, particularly findings from any review activities by the Department of Education. It has, as necessary, addressed any issues the Department raised regarding the institution's fulfillment of its responsibilities in this area.*
- **Financial Responsibility Requirements:** *The institution has provided the Commission with information about the Department's review of composite ratios and financial audits. It has, as necessary, addressed any issues the Department raised regarding the institution's fulfillment of its responsibilities in this area.*
- **Default Rates, Campus Crime Information and Related Disclosure of Consumer Information, Satisfactory Academic Progress and Attendance Policies:** *The institution has demonstrated, and the team has reviewed, the institution's policies and practices for ensuring compliance with these regulations.*
- **Contractual Relationships:** *The institution has presented evidence of its contracts with non-accredited third party providers of 25-50% of the academic content of any degree or certificate programs.*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and recommends the ongoing approval of such contracts.

Comments:

6. Institutional Disclosures and Advertising and Recruitment Materials: *The institution has documented that it provides accurate, timely and appropriately detailed information to current and prospective students and the public about its accreditation status with the Commission and other agencies as well as about its programs, locations and policies.*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance.

Comments:

7. Relationship with Other Accrediting Agencies and with State Regulatory Boards: *The institution has documented that it discloses its relationship with any other specialized, professional or institutional accreditor and with all governing or coordinating bodies in states in which the institution may have a presence. Note that if the team is recommending initial or continued status, and the institution is currently under sanction or show-cause with, or has received an adverse action from, any other federally recognized specialized or institutional accreditor in the past five years, the team must address this in the body of the Assurance Section of the Team Report and provide its rationale for recommending Commission status in light of this information.*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance.

Comments:

8. Public Notification of an Evaluation Visit and Third Party Comment: *The institution has made an appropriate and timely effort to solicit third party comments. The team has evaluated any comments received and completed any necessary follow-up on issues raised in these comments. Note that if the team has determined that any issues raised by third-party comment relate to the team's review of the institution's compliance with the Criteria for Accreditation, it must discuss this information and its analysis in the body of the Assurance Section of the Team Report.*

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance.

Comments: